

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,334	11/09/2001	Hidekazu Nakai	450100-03694	5902
20999	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			SCUDERI, PHILIP S	
	AVENUE- 101H FL. L, NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2153	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/037,334	NAKAI, HIDEKAZU
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Philip S. Scuderi	2153
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de 0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the department of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 1 cys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 09 November 2001	
· —	,	☐ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
, _	closed in accordance with the practice i		
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Entre drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	$\frac{\partial O1}{\partial O1}$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square in to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Apple priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokono et al. (US 6,529,946, hereinafter "Yokono") in view of Hosoe (US 6,047,376).
- 3. With respect to claim 1, Yokono discloses a recording medium (figures (8, 12, and 22) #90, fig. 6, col. 13 lines 33-41), having a second storage region into which data can be written (col. 13 lines 36-41). Yokono discloses a means for establishing a connection to a predetermined server over a predetermined network and downloading data from the connected server (col. 6 lines 43-52). However, Yokono does not disclose that said means is embodied on a first storage region of the recording medium.

 Nonetheless, a memory medium having a first storage region in which program information describing a procedure for executing a process for establishing a connection to a predetermined server over a predetermined communication network and downloading data from the connected server is stored was well known, as evidenced by Hosoe. In a similar art, Hosoe discloses a memory medium (fig. 2 #35) having a first storage region in which program information describing a procedure for executing a process for establishing a connection to a predetermined server is stored (fig. 2 "Server Access Authentication Program", col. 4 lines 36-37, fig. 2 "Server Address", col. 1 lines

52-54). Given the teachings of Hosoe it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the recording medium taught by Yokono to comprise a first storage region in which program information describing a procedure for executing a process for establishing a connection to the predetermined server over the predetermined communication network and downloading data from the connected server is stored. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a means for reading out the server address and memory medium identification information (Hosoe col. 3 lines 49-51).

- 4. With respect to claim 2, Yokono in view of Hosoe teaches the recording medium applied to claim 1. Yokono further discloses a third storage region having an ID unique to said recording medium stored therein (fig. 8 "Medium ID", col. 15 lines 12-17).
- 5. With respect to claim 3, Yokono in view of Hosoe teaches the recording medium applied to claim 2. Yokono does not disclose that the ID is information which can be utilized by said server to manage accounting for the downloaded data. However, Hosoe further discloses a third storage region having an ID unique to the memory medium (fig. 2 "Memory Medium Identification Number (N)"). Hosoe discloses that said ID is used to identify the medium at logon (col. 5 lines 24-31) and that the ID is information which can be utilized by a server to manage accounting for downloaded data (col. 2 lines 51-67). Given the further teachings of Hosoe it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the ID to manage accounting for the downloaded data. The motivation for doing so would have been so that only clients having a legal memory medium are given access permission (Hosoe col. 2 lines 65-67).
- 6. With respect to claim 4, Yokono in view of Hosoe teaches the recording medium applied to claim 2. Hosoe further discloses a third storage region having an ID unique to

the memory medium (fig. 2 "Memory Medium Identification Number (N)"). Hosoe discloses that said ID is used to identify the medium at logon (col. 5 lines 24-31) and that the ID can be utilized by the server to identify a type of the download data (fig. 9 #S35, col. 7 line 65 – col. 8 line 1). Given the further teachings of Hosoe it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the server to utilize the ID to identify a type of the download data. The motivation for doing so would have been to identify and provide the necessary service (Hosoe col. 7 lines 52-54).

- 7. With respect to claim 5, Yokono in view of Hosoe teaches the recording medium applied to claim 2. Hosoe further discloses a third storage region having an ID unique to the memory medium (fig. 2 "Memory Medium Identification Number (N)"). Hosoe discloses that said ID is used to identify the medium at logon (col. 5 lines 24-31) and that the ID can be utilized by the server to identify a supply source of the download data (fig. 9 #S35, col. 7 line 65 col. 8 line 1). Given the further teachings of Hosoe it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the server to utilize the ID to identify a supply source of the download data. The motivation for doing so would have been to identify and provide the necessary service (Hosoe col. 7 lines 52-54).
- 8. With respect to claim 6, Yokono discloses a downloading method, comprising:
 - o a means for establishing a connection to a predetermined server over a predetermined communication network, downloading data from the connected server (col. 6 lines 43-52), and storing said data on a second storage region of a storage medium (col. 13 lines 36-41); and
 - o a storage control step of storing the data acquired by the downloading into said second storage area of said storage medium (col. 6 line 66 col. 7 line 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,334

Art Unit: 2153

Yokono does not disclose that said means comprises the recording medium having a first storage region in which program information describing a procedure for executing a process for establishing a connection to the predetermined server over the predetermined communication network. Nonetheless, a memory medium having a first storage region in which program information describing a procedure for executing a process for establishing a connection to a predetermined server over a predetermined communication network is well known, as evidenced by Hosoe. In a similar art, Hosoe discloses a memory medium (fig. 2 #35) having a first storage region (fig. 2 "Server Access Authentication Program", col. 4 lines 36-37) in which program information describing a procedure for executing a process for establishing a connection to a predetermined server (fig. 2 "Server Address", col. 1 lines 52-54) over a predetermined communication network (fig. 2 #100) is stored. Given the teachings of Hosoe it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the recording medium taught by Yokono to comprise a first storage medium in which program information describing a procedure for executing a process for establishing a connection to the predetermined server over the predetermined communication network and downloading data from the connected server is stored. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a means for reading out the server address and memory medium identification information (Hosoe col. 3 lines 49-51). Hosoe further discloses an access step of accessing a server in accordance with the read out program information (fig. 3 #S1-S10). Given the further teachings of Hosoe it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to access the server in accordance with the read out program information because, as discussed above, the program information comprises information for connecting to the server. Yokono further

discloses a download step of downloading required data from the server (col. 6 line 66 – col. 7 line 8). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a download step of downloading required data from the server accessed in accordance with the read out program information discussed above.

Conclusion

- 9. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - o Sato et al. (US Pub. 2002/0055969);
 - o Srinivasan (US Pub. 2002/0062357);
 - o Hosoe (US 6,553,492); and
 - o Srinivasan (US 6,460,076).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip S. Scuderi whose telephone number is (571) 272-5865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-5pm.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B. Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PSS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100